

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,314	08/31/2001	Martin W. Masters	2001P16282US	2179
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			LAZOR, MICHELLE A	
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10 P	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/944,314	MASTERS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle A Lazor	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (28/3)	1,001		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance with the practice under an accordance with the practice. 			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	or clocker requirement.		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits the foreign language profits acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the first sentence of the certification of the content of the certification of the certification of the first sentence of the certification of the first sentence of the certification of the certi	Its have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been us (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not tic priority under 35 U.S.C arst sentence of the specific provisional application has been to the priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application) It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet. It is a possible of the provisional application Data Sheet.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 8 are not clear as to which statutory class of invention the claim is directed. For the purposes of examination, a molding method is assumed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-10, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoerkens (U.S. Patent No. 4803853).

Hoerkens discloses a method of fabricating a hearing instrument, comprising fabricating a shell comprising an outer surface comprising a series of layers (column 2, lines 35 - 41); and imparting a non-smooth texture to at least a portion of the outer surface of the shell by way of using a mesh screen, which comprises waveforms to the edges of one or more of the layers during the process of fabrication (Figures 1 - 6, column 1, lines 45 - 56). Thus Hoerkens

Art Unit: 1734

discloses all the limitations of Claims 1 - 3, 6, 8 - 10, 13, and 16, and anticipates the claimed invention.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoest et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6097825).

Yoest et al. disclose a method of fabricating a hearing instrument, comprising fabricating a shell comprising an outer surface (Figure 4); and imparting a texture to at least a portion of the outer surface of the shell, which comprises imparting a non-reflective finish (column 1, line 65 – column 2, line 2). Thus Yoest et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, and 11, and anticipate the claimed invention.

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Widmer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6595317).

Widmer et al. disclose a method of fabricating a hearing instrument, comprising fabricating a shell comprising an outer surface that is fabricated from a mold cavity derived from surface contours of the user's ear and which comprises a series of layers; applying a laser to the surface (column 5, lines 4 - 29; column 6, lines 39 - 57); and imparting a non-smooth texture to at least a portion of the outer surface of the shell that includes a series of lines or ribs (Figures 18 - 20; column 13, lines 36 - 54). Thus Widmer et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 - 3, 5, 7 - 10, 12, 14, 15, and 17, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1734

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widmer et al. as applied above in Claims 2 and 9, in view of Hoerkens.

Widmer et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 2 and 9, but do not specifically disclose imparting a texture that comprises applying waveforms to the edges of one or more of the layers during the process of fabrication. However, Hoerkens discloses applying waveforms to the edges of one or more of the layers during the process of fabrication (Figures 1 – 6; column 1, lines 45 – 56). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply waveforms to the edges of one or more of the layers during the process of fabrication to permit the hearing aid to be worn inconspicuously (column 2, lines 42 – 51).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Greenwood (U.S. Patent no. 2595672) discloses a hearing aid with a decorative cover (Figures 1 – 5), and Topholm et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5487012) disclose using a laser to cut cross-sections of the material used for the hearing aid (column 6, line 56 – column 7, line 8).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 571-272-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Wed 6:30 - 4:00.

Art Unit: 1734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

MAL^v 1/22/04

MICHAEL COLAIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER